

Dr Naren Thanabalan, Dr Mital Patel, Mr John Blythe

Department of Restorative Dentistry, Royal London Dental Hospital, Barts Health NHS Trust, London

INTRODUCTION

- Osteblastoma (OB) is a rare benign slow-growing bone tumour, which represents less than 1% of all tumours in the maxillofacial region.
- Tend to be diagnosed in 4th or 5th decades of life. Commonly arises on the cranial vault surfaces, jaws, paranasal sinuses, and orbit.
- Often presents asymptotically, although when large may result in a painless swelling, facial asymmetry, symptoms related to nasal or paranasal sinus obstruction and ocular abnormalities.
- Excision is the treatment of choice relative to the tumour extent and may require maxillofacial reconstruction.
- A MDT approach is required in the management of these patients, with the common goals of restoration of function, aesthetics and quality of life.

METHOD

- 19-year-old female referred to the Royal London Dental Hospital for replacement of teeth in the right maxillary quadrant.
- Previous en bloc resection of an OB from the right maxilla in Italy at the age of 13 followed by a fibula bone graft reconstruction.
- Unhappy with her removeable partial prosthesis due to aesthetics and fit.
- Two tissue level implants were digitally planned to achieve bicortical fixation within the fibula graft in the UR3 and UR5 positions.
- Due to the hollow structure of the fibula bone a mixture of autogenous bone scrapings and bovine-derived bone graft material were inserted through the implant osteotomy sites to help achieve stability and osteointegration.

PRE-TREATMENT



- Low smile line
- Upper lip asymmetry due to scarring and palsy from surgery



- Frontal view
- Retained deciduous As were extracted and composite build ups of the LR2 and LL2



- Maxillary occlusal view
- Right fibula bone graft with lack of vestibular sulcus and keratinized tissue.



- Right lateral view
- Edentulous posterior maxilla from previous resection



- Left lateral view
- Good interdигitation and stable intercuspал position



- Maxillary and mandibular articulated casts
- Light contact of LR7 with opposing soft tissue

RADIOGRAPHIC IMAGING




- Pre-treatment dental panoramic showing patient is periodontally stable
- Right fibula bone graft present of the maxilla
- Ligatures present around right mandibular region from pedicle




- Cone beam CT axial view showing right maxillary fibular bone graft hollow and slender form.




- Sagittal view of CBCT showing the thin cortices of the fibula bone graft.
- Anteriorly there is good union between the grafted bone and maxilla



- Conventional arch form of maxilla without surgery (dotted yellow arrow)
- Laterality of fibula bone relative to maxillary arch (blue arrow)
- Area available for dental implants (green box)



- Three-dimensional reconstruction of maxilla showing the right fibula bone graft
- Area available for implant placement (green box)




- Inferior view showing the laterality of the fibula graft required to restore right zygomatic region

Dr Naren Thanabalan, Dr Mital Patel, Mr John Blythe

Department of Restorative Dentistry, Royal London Dental Hospital, Barts Health NHS Trust, London

IMPLANT PLANNING




- Using Co-diagnostix® implant planning software
- Two tissue level (TL) standard implants were planned for a fixed-fixed implant-retained prosthesis
- Due to the hollow nature of the fibula bone, TL implants were selected due to the tulip design to help provide primary stability

- Without the tulip design, there was a risk the implants could end more apical to the intended position
- The implants were planned to achieve bicortical fixation to help with primary stability
- Implants were planned to be parallel to facilitate the passivity of the prosthesis

- The implants had to follow the arch form despite the fibula bone extending more laterally to support and restore the right zygomatic region
- Due to the hollow nature, bone grafting would be required in order to further establish osteointegration and thus longevity of the implants

- Screw-retained access was planned to aid retrievability
- Due to precise nature of the implant positions, a bone supported guide was constructed

IMPLANT SURGERY



- Anatomical boundaries of the fibula bone palpated and surgical incision marked out

- Flap raised and reflected
- Holding sutures in place to maintain access.

- Fully guided stent in place with bone support on the fibula bone distally

- Implant osteotomy sites drilled using Straumann® surgical protocol
- Depth gauges used to assess three dimensional positioning apico-coronal, mesio-distal and bucco-palatal.








- Autogenous and bovine-derived bone (Bio-Oss®) mixed
- inserted through the osteotomy sites to fill the hollow space with bone for establish osteointegration

- Implants were placed and healing caps placed
- Porcine-derived membrane (Bio-gide®) placed and primary closure achieved
- Post-op radiograph shows good placement of implants which complements implant plan.

Dr Naren Thanabalan, Dr Mital Patel, Mr John Blythe

Department of Restorative Dentistry, Royal London Dental Hospital, Barts Health NHS Trust, London

SURGERY





- Due to a lack of keratinised peri-implant tissue and reduced sulcus depth screw-retained acrylic plate was constructed to stabilise a free-gingival graft
- A wax spacer was used to prevent the plate from compressing the soft tissue
- Sulcoplasty would achieve little due to the laterality of the bone.

- Free-gingival graft (FGG) taken from left palatal maxilla. Recipient site mucosa de-epithelialised with round bur
- Graft size was measured as per dimensions shown on dental cast

- FGG taken to recipient site to ensure enough coverage over implants
- To permit screw access the soft tissue was perforated instead of punching an access to maintain area of keratinized tissue

- Screw-retained plate was hand-tightened once the graft was sutured
- Post-surgery advice was given

RESTORATIVE



- 6 months post-op – customized healing abutments were placed
- Radiograph showed increased radiodensity around the implants following bone grafting
- Scan bodies were placed and an intra-oral scan undertaken

- A verification jig was constructed and transferred intra-orally to check for passive seating
- The Sheffield test was undertaken to check for passivity

- A provisional bridge was constructed to restore occlusion and assess aesthetics.
- Intraoral photograph shows the screw access channels

- Front view shows prosthesis in situ
- Smile photo shows patient does not show teeth on right hand side to lip palsy
- Patient due to have restorative treatment to further improve aesthetics of the UR1, UR2 and UR3

RESULTS

- Meticulous digital planning and adherence to drilling protocol to execute guided implant surgery precisely and bone grafting techniques were essential to enable precise and predictable execution of treatment.
- It is important to understand the anatomy of the bone being grafted and adherence to biological principles.
- To date the patient is under regular review with no apparent issues thus far.

CONCLUSION

- There are no long-term studies detailing the clinical success or patient-reported outcomes in the use of delayed implant placement in fibular graft bone in the maxilla.
- This case demonstrates implant rehabilitation coupled with bone grafting techniques within the fibula bone graft can achieve good primary stability to help restore function and aesthetics.